

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,923	07/16/2003	Tatsuhiko Nonoyama	4041K-000141	8936
27572	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			KOSŁOW, CAROL M	
	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/620,923	NONOYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-122 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40,42,44,46-68,70,72,74-78,80,82,84-112,116,118 and 122 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 41,43,45,69,71,73,79,81,83,113,115,117,119 and 121 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 114 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/16/03 is/are: a)⊠ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	Дан	(070,440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/7/03</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/620,923

Art Unit: 1755

JP 7-82024 was cited twice on the PTO-1449 for the Information Disclosure Statement of 7 November 2003. Accordingly, the second citation has a line drawn through it.

JP 2001-342065 cited in the information disclosure statement filed 7 November 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph [0285],  $HoO_3$  should be  $Ho_2O_3$  and  $V_2O_6$  should be  $V_2O_5$ . In paragraph [0350],  $SrO_3$  should be  $SrCO_3$ . Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 114 is objected to because of the following informalities: MgC3 should be MgCO<sub>3</sub>. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 41, 43, 45, 69, 71, 73, 79, 81, 83, 113, 115, 117, 119 and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The wording of claims 41, 69, 79, 113, 115 and 117 makes it difficult to determine the actual composition being produced by the claimed process. It is unclear if the metal additives substitute to the formula or not.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,923

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 43, 45, 71, 73, 81, 83, 119 and 121 are indefinite since the claims to which they refer (claims 22, 47, 75 and 85) are not method claims, but ceramic composition claims.

Claims 1-40, 42, 44, 46-68, 70, 72, 74-78, 80, 82, 84-112, 116, 118 and 122 are allowed.

Claim 114 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

Claims 41, 43, 45, 69, 71, 73, 79, 81, 83, 113, 115, 117, 119 and 121 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

There is no teaching or suggestion in the cited art of record of a piezoelectric ceramic having the base formula  $\{Li_x(K_{1-y}Na_y)_{1-x}\}(Nb_{1-z-w}Ta_zSb_w)O_3$ , where x is 0-0.2, y is 0-1,  $0 < z \le 0.4$  and  $0 < w \le 0.2$ . The art teaches piezoelectric formulas having the base formula where one or two of Nb, Ta or Sb are present, but there is no suggestion that all must be present in the claimed amounts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (571) 272-1362.

The fax number for all official communications is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk August 20, 2004 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700